arXiv:1407.7178v1 [gr-qc] 27 Jul 2014

BRX TH-680
CALT-TH 2014-147

Unconstrained canonical action for, and positive energy of, massive spin 2

S. Deser

Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125;
Physics Department, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454
deser@brandeis.edu

Abstract

Filling a much-needed gap, we exhibit the $D = 4$ Fierz-Pauli (FP) massive $s = 2$ action, and its – manifestly positive – energy, in terms of its $2s + 1 = 5$ unconstrained helicity (2,1,0) excitations, after reducing and diagonalizing the troublesome helicity-0 sector.

1 Introduction

We begin with an apologia, since there is nothing still not known about the FP model [1]. However, there seems to be no published derivation[1] from its covariant and highly constrained form to the final unconstrained canonical action in terms of its $2s + 1 = 5$ helicity $(\pm 2, \pm 1, 0)$ components. That form will displays that each mode propagates correctly and has manifestly positive energy, in the usual $L = p\,\dot{q} - H$, $H = \frac{1}{2}\left[p^2 + q\,(-\nabla^2 + m^2)\,q\right]$ form. While [1] realized that positive energy was essential, it was displayed rather opaquely; a subsequent formulation [3] was likewise less than transparently presented (and contains distracting typos). lndeed, proper use of the constraints is not altogether trivial, making the correct process instructive as a (minor) exercise in (free) field theory.

Historically, it was not until FP's 1939 work that the problem of representing massive spins > 1 by tensor fields – involving many more than $2s + 1$ components – was raised, let alone solved. Given the current interest in massive gravity (mGR) with Einstein kinetic terms plus non-derivative mass terms involving a fixed, say flat, background, our summary may be useful. lndeed, this is a good place to note that-contrary to statements in the mGR literature – the mass terms destroy the whole (ADM) asymptotic energy formulation of GR as a $2D$ surface integral at spatial infinity, just as the Coulomb asymptotic integral that counts total charge is lost in massive (Proca) vector

[1] This was actually done [2] for the more general case of the system embedded in dS, rather than flat, space; however that derivation involved (an even number of) steps using inverse powers of Λ, hence singular and not applicable here; its final result of course does limit to ours. It was also shown in detail here that the helicity 0 mode can be removed by suitably tuning m^2/Λ in dS.

theory: the Newtonian/Coulomb fields decay much too fast there for these integrals to contribute at all.

2 The Derivation

The action and field equations of the theory are the sum of linearized GR and the -unique-mass term that eliminates the 6th, ghost helicity 0, degree of freedom (DoF). We work throughout in 1st order, $3+1$, canonical form, which simplifies the procedure and indeed starts directly in terms of the 6 conjugate pairs (π^{ij}, h_{ij}) rather than the 10 covariant $h_{\mu\nu}$. The action is (see e.g., [2])

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int d^4x \, \left\{ \pi^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} - H(\pi, h) \right\}, \\ H &= {}^3R_Q + \left(\pi_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\pi^2 \right) + 4\,n\,R_0 + 2\,N_i\,\partial_j \pi^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\,m^2\,(h_{ij}^2 - h_{ii}^2 - 4\,n\,h_{ii} - 2N_i^2), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where (under the integral)

$${}^3R_Q = \frac{1}{2}\,h_{ij}\,G^L_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4}\,[h_{\rm TT}\,\nabla^2 h_{\rm TT} - h_{\rm T}\,\nabla^2 h_{\rm T}], \qquad R_0 = (m^2 - \nabla^2)\,h_{\rm T} + m^2\,h_{\rm L}; \tag{2}$$

$n \sim \frac{1}{2}\,h_{00}$ is a Lagrange multiplier enforcing the linear constraint $R_0 = 0$, while $N_i = h_{0i}$ becomes an auxiliary field to be eliminated by completing squares, leaving only the six (π, h) pairs – indeed our whole process consists of juggling quadratic forms. Finally, we recall that the linearized 3D Einstein tensor $G^L_{ij}(h_{lm})$ is both identically conserved and independent of the longitudinal, gauge, parts of h_{lm}; The second ingredient, essential to the separation of the various helicity DoF in (1), is the usual orthogonal decomposition of any symmetric 3-tensor,

$$S_{ij} = S^{\rm TT}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\,(\delta_{ij} - \hat{\partial}_i\hat{\partial}_j)S^{\rm T} + [\hat{\partial}_j S^{\rm T}_i + \hat{\partial}_j S^{\rm T}_i] + \hat{\partial}_i\hat{\partial}_j S^{\rm L}, \quad \partial_i\,S^{\rm T}_i \equiv 0, \quad \hat{\partial}_i \equiv \partial_i/\sqrt{\nabla^2}. \tag{3}$$

Completing squares in (1) removes the N_i dependence of H in favor of adding the term $2m^{-2}(\partial_j\pi^{ij})^2$ to H. There remains the elimination of the R_0 constraint, hence of one linear combination of the two helicity 0 (T, L) modes. lt will be equally essential to use $\dot{R}_0 = 0$ to further eliminate one combination of their conjugate momenta $(\pi^{\rm T}, \pi^{\rm L})$ using $\dot{h} \sim \pi$; constraints "strike twice" in our 1st order form, since they are valid for all times[2].

The task before us then is to decompose (1) into a sum of three – non- interacting – orthogonal, two DoF sectors: Helicity ± 2 (TT), helicity ± 1 "T_i", and the (T, L) helicity-0. The latter's Hamiltonian is the source of difficulty, being a priori non-positive before using the $R_0(T, L) = 0$ constraint. To keep the discussion compact, we first dispose of the helicity > 0 sectors: that of TT is trivial to obtain, being unconstrained; we simply add up the TT terms in (1); dropping "TT",

[2] That the original number, 6, of $\pi^{ij}\dot{h}_{ij}$ kinetic terms decreases by one for every constraint is just Darboux's theorem on quadratic forms; in massless theory there are 4 constraints, leaving just the two $(\pi^{\rm TT}, q^{\rm TT})$ pairs. We will see this more explicitly below.

we have

$$L = \pi^{ij}\,\dot{h}_{ij} - H \qquad H = \pi_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\,\left(h_{ij,k}^2 + m^2\,h_{ij}^2\right) \geq 0; \tag{4}$$

Note that H vanishes only for TT vacuum, $\pi = 0 = h$. The same is true of the transverse vector (T_i) part, though it still requires some field redefinitions to achieve the same final form; here (1) also easily yields (omitting "T_i")

$$H = \pi^2 + 2\,m^{-2}\,\left(\pi^{ij}_{\ \ ,j}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,m^2\,h^2 \geq 0; \tag{5}$$

while not (yet) very pretty, this H is also positive and vanishes at (T_i) vacuum, a result unaffected by the further field redefinitions required to reach the final $p\,\dot{q} - H$ form; we outline the process in the Appendix. [Recall, however, that correct energy functional form is only reached when the "(p, q)" variables are redefined to ensure that the associated kinetic, "$p\,\dot{q}$", term is itself free of unwanted numerical coefficients.]

We now face the final, $H(T, L)$, sector, where R_0 must be used – twice. There,

$$\begin{aligned} &I[T,L] = \int d^4x\,\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\pi^{\rm T}\,\dot{h}_{\rm T} + \pi^{\rm L}\,\dot{h}_{\rm L} - V(h_{\rm T}, h_{\rm L}) - K(\pi^{\rm T}, \pi^{\rm L})\right], \\ &4\,V(h) \equiv h_{\rm T}\,\left(\nabla^2 - m^2\right)\,h_{\rm T} - 2\,h_{\rm T}\,h_{\rm L}, \qquad K(\pi) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\,\left(\pi^{\rm L}\right)^2 - 2\,\pi^{\rm T}\,\pi^{\rm L} + 2\,\pi^{\rm L}\,\left(-m^{-2}\,\nabla^2\right)\,\pi^{\rm L}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We now show that both potential and kinetic parts of H are positive, using the R_0 constraint and its time derivative respectively. Eliminating $h_{\rm L}$ yields

$$V(h_{\rm L}, h_{\rm T}) = \frac{3}{8}\,\left[\left(h_{{\rm T},i}\right)^2 + m^2\,h_{\rm T}^2\right] \geq 0; \tag{7}$$

again, V only vanishes at vacuum, $h_{\rm T} = 0$. Next we find the $\dot{R}_0 = 0$ constraint between $\pi^{\rm T}$ and $\pi^{\rm L}$: The two field equations for $\pi \sim \dot{h}$ obtained by varying (6) w.r.t. the π are

$$\dot{h}_{\rm L} - \pi^{\rm L} + \pi^{\rm T} + 4\,m^{-2}\,\nabla^2\pi^{\rm L} = 0, \qquad \dot{h}_{\rm T} + 2\,\pi^{\rm L} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Taking their appropriate vanishing linear combination, we learn that

$$m^2\,\pi^T = \left(-2\,\nabla^2 - m^2\right)\,\pi^{\rm L}; \tag{9}$$

hence finally

$$K(\pi^{\rm L}, \pi^{\rm T}) \to K(\pi^{\rm L}) = \frac{3}{2}\,\left(\pi^L\right)^2 \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

We have now established $E \geq 0$ for the full theory, but one task is still to be completed: putting the helicity action into exact $p\,\dot{q} - H(p, q)$ form. Even before this is done, one can already see that the (second order) field equations are uniformly $(\Box - m^2)\,h = 0$, but it is an amusing exercise – as well as a check on the result – to do so. Using (9), it is easy to translate the (T, L) sector's

$\pi^{\rm L}\,\dot h_{\rm L} + \frac{1}{2}\,\pi^{\rm T}\,\dot h_{\rm T}$ into $\pi^{\rm L}\,\dot h_{\rm T}$ form. At this penultimate point,

$$L(T,L) = -\frac{3}{2}\,\pi^{\rm L}\,\dot h_{\rm T} - \frac{3}{2}\,[(\pi^{\rm L})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\,(h_{T,i,i})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\,m^2\,h_{\rm T}^2]; \tag{11}$$

the obvious rescaling $(\pi, h) \to \sqrt{2/3}\,(-\pi, h)$ achieves the desired final canonical form of the helicity 0 sector,

$$L(0) = \pi\,\dot h - H(\pi,h), \qquad H \equiv \pi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\,h\,\left(-\nabla^2 + m^2\right)\,h. \tag{12}$$

Together with the vector mode in the Appendix, then, the total action is

$$L = \sum_{A=1}^{5} p^A\,\dot q_A - \frac{1}{2}\,[(p^A)^2 + q_A(-\nabla^2 + m^2)\,q_A], \tag{13}$$

after the (cosmetic) rescaling $\pi \to p^A/\sqrt{2}$, $h \to q_A\,\sqrt{2}$.

3 Summary

The physical correctness of the massive $s = 2$ FP model has been displayed: each of its $2s + 1 = 5$ helicity excitations obey $(\Box - m^2)\,h = 0$, $E \geq 0$.

4 Appendix: The helicity ± 1 sector

We consider here the remaining, helicity 1, subspace involving only the "T_i" parts of (π, h) in (1). Clearly, neither $h\,G_{\rm L}(h)$ nor the R_0 constraint involve $h_{{\rm T}\,i}$; only the mass term does: it contains $\frac{1}{2}\,m^2\,(h_{{\rm T}\,i})^2$. Its π sector involves $(\pi^{\rm T}_{i,j})^2$ as well as the quadratic term $2\,N_i^{\rm T}\partial_j\,\pi^{ij}$. Using the $\frac{1}{2}\,m^2(N_i^{\rm T})^2$ from the mass term, we complete the square to leave a net contribution $m^{-2}(\pi^{ij}{}_{,j})^2 \sim 2\,m^{-2}\,(\pi_i^{\rm T}\,\nabla^2\pi_i^{\rm T})$ there. At this point, then, dropping the T_i indices, we find

$$L(T_i) = -2\,\pi\,\dot h - \frac{1}{2}\,[m^2h^2 + 4\,m^{-2}\,\pi\,\left(m^2 - \nabla^2\right)\,\pi]; \tag{14}$$

the necessary redefinition is obvious:

$$\pi \to -\frac{1}{2}\,m\,\left(m^2 - \nabla^2\right)^{-1/2}\,\pi \qquad h \to m^{-1}\,\sqrt{m^2 - \nabla^2}\,h \tag{15}$$

leads to the desired helicity 1 canonical Lagrangian,

$$L(T_i) \to\ \pi\,\dot h - \frac{1}{2}\,[\pi^2 + h\,\left(m^2 - \nabla^2\right)\,h]. \tag{16}$$

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Grants NSF PHY- 1266107 and DOE # de-sc0011632. Collaboration with A. Waldron on [2] facilitated the present effort, as did composing help from J. Franklin and CPU help from G. Conrad.

References

[1] M Fierz, Helv Physica Acta 12, 3(1939).

[2] S.Deser and A.Waldron, Phys Lett B508, 347(2001).

[3] S.Deser, J. and S.Trubatch, Can J Phys 44, 1715(1966).